

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/599,911	CHEON ET AL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Matthew P. Coughlin	1626	

All Participants:

(1) Matthew P. Coughlin.

(2) Eugene Lieberstein.

Date of Interview: 3 February 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative agreed to an examiner's amendment to insert the continuity data for the instant application on the first page of specification as follows: "This application is a 35 U.S.C. 371 National Stage Filing of International Application No. PCT/KR05/01066, filed April 13th, 2005, which claims priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a-d) to Korean Application No. 10-2004-0025217, filed April 13th, 2004."

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 11:30 am

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)